Preparation and Characterization of Dendrimer Monolayers and Dendrimer–Alkanethiol Mixed Monolayers Adsorbed to Gold
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Abstract: Single-component monolayers of dendrimers and two-component monolayers consisting of dendrimers and n-alkanethiols immobilized on Au substrates are described. Single-component monolayers are prepared by exposing an Au substrate to ethanolic solutions of amine- or hydroxy-terminated polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimers. The resulting monolayers are highly stable and nearly close-packed for dendrimer generations ranging from 4 to 8 (G4–G8). Electrochemical ac-impedance measurements indicate that the dendrimer surface is very porous toward the electroactive redox couple Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻/³⁻. Ferrrocene-terminated dendrimer monolayers have also been investigated. Exposure of higher-generation dendrimer monolayers to ethanolic solutions of hexadecanethiol (C₁₆SH) results in a dramatic compression of the dendrimers, and causes them to reorient on the surface from an oblate to prolate configuration. The dendrimers originally present on the surface do not desorb as a consequence of this configurational change. Comparison of the extent of adsorption of C₁₆SH in different media (vapor-phase N₂, hexane, and ethanol) shows that solvation of the dendrimers is the primary driving force for the structural change. Finally, the reactivity and stability of the dendrimer monolayers is investigated by on-surface functionalization of the dendrimer monolayer with 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl chloride. The physical and chemical properties of the single- and two-component monolayers are evaluated by using reflection infrared spectroscopy, ellipsometry, contact-angle measurements, ac-impedance spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry, and surface acoustic wave (SAW)-based analyte-dosing experiments.

Introduction

Here, we describe monolayers prepared by the direct adsorption of several kinds of dendrimers onto Au substrates. In some cases the monolayers are nearly close-packed and in most cases are very strongly adsorbed to the Au surface. We also discuss the novel structural and chemical properties of mixed monolayers prepared from n-alkanethiols and dendrimers (Scheme 1). The dendrimer monolayers have several important properties. First, the higher generation dendrimers yield quasi-three-dimensional surfaces characterized by a much higher density of functional groups than found on linear, alkyl-based self-assembled monolayers (SAMs).1–3 Second, the dendrimer terminal groups can be post-functionalized without loss of dendrimers from the surface, which greatly expands their versatility. Third, composite monolayers with properties that are not a simple combination of the individual components can be prepared. We believe the simplicity and unique properties of directly adsorbed dendrimer monolayers will lead to their use in many technological applications including chemical sensors,4,5 adhesion,6 and membrane chemistry.7
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Besides the covalent SAM-linking approach developed in our laboratory and described previously, a variety of other strategies have been developed for attaching dendrimers to surfaces. For example, redox-active ferrocenyl dendrimers have been electrochemically deposited onto a Pt electrode. An amine-terminated dendrimer has been immobilized onto an amine-functionalized silicon surface via complexation with Pt. It has also been found that protonation of amine-terminated dendrimers leads to adsorption on a hydrophilic silicon oxide surface. Finally, Langmuir films of polyether-based dendrimers have been investigated. Compared to these approaches, the strategy described here is simple and versatile, and therefore has excellent potential for technological applications.

**Experimental Section**

**Substrates.** Au-coated substrates were prepared by electron-beam deposition of 10 nm of Ti followed by 200 nm of Au onto Si(100) wafers (Lance Goddard Associates, Foster City, CA). Au-coated SAW devices were prepared in the same manner on polished ST-cut quartz. Before each experiment all wafers and devices were cleaned in a low-energy Ar plasma cleaner at medium power for 1 min (Harrick Scientific Corporation, NY, model PDC-32G).

**Chemicals and Solutions.** Amine- and hydroxy-terminated polyamidoamine dendrimers (Starburst (PAMAM) Dendrimers, G0, G2, G4, G8, Dendritech, Inc., Midland, MI) were used as received. Fifth-generation, amine-terminated poly(aminopropane-1,3-diyi) dendrimers, 64-Cascade:1,4-diaminobutane[4]:(1-azabutylidene):60 propylamine, (DAB(PA)64, DSM Fine Chemicals, The Netherlands), were used as references.

**Scheme 1**
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Dendrimer + n-Alkythiol Mixed Monolayer

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(34) Tsukruk, V. V.; Rinderspacher, F.; Bliznyuk, V. N. Langmuir 1997, 13, 2171.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(39) Tompkins, H. A. A Users Guide to Ellipsometry, Academic: New York, 1993; Appendix B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(47) The extent of dendrimer adsorption depends on the substrate preparation. For example, we have observed dendrimer surface concentrations up to 50% higher on ozone-cleaned Au substrates.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(50) Technical data supplied by Dendritech, Inc., Midland, MI.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
precursors for the ferrocene-terminated dendrimers (D-Fc) after drying in vacuo. CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_3$SH (Aldrich 98%) and CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_5$SH (Aldrich 98%) were distilled once under reduced pressure prior to use. CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_9$SH (Aldrich 90%), ferroceneacarboxylic acid (Aldrich 97%), oxalyl chloride (Aldrich 98%), chlorobenzene (Aldrich 99.5%), n-heptane (Aldrich 99+%), benzene (Aldrich 99.9%), carbon tetrachloride (Aldrich 99.9%), acetone (EM science 99.5%), 1-butanol (Aldrich 99.8%), Ru(NH$_3$)$_6$Cl$_3$ (Strem Chemicals), and K$_2$Fe(CN)$_6$ and K$_3$Fe(CN)$_6$ (Fisher Scientific) were all used as received.

Unless otherwise noted, electrochemical data were obtained in a buffered electrolyte solution consisting of 0.5 M Na$_2$SO$_4$ and 0.025 M KH$_2$PO$_4$ + 0.025 M Na$_2$HPO$_4$ (pH 6.3). All aqueous solutions were prepared by using 18 MΩ·cm deionized water (Millipore). Immediately preceding electrochemical experiments solutions were deoxygenated with N$_2$. During 10-s impedance experiments the solutions were purged with N$_2$ at the rate of about two bubbles per second, and during cyclic voltammetry experiments N$_2$ was gently blown over the solution. All of these experiments were carried out at 22 ± 2 °C.

Procedures. The amine- and hydroxy-terminated PAMAM dendrimer surfaces were prepared by immersing a plasma-cleaned Au surface in an ethanolic solution of the dendrimer at 22 ± 2 °C (unless stated otherwise) for 15–20 h. The concentration based on the number of primary amine terminal groups was always 6.4 mM, which corresponds to absolute dendrimer concentrations as follows: G0, 1.6 mM; G2, 0.40 mM; G4, 0.10 mM; and G8, 0.063 mM. The dendrimer stock solution was used within 6 months of receipt as we observed some irreproducibility in results for older solutions; the cause of this aging effect is not clear at the present time. Dendrimer deposition was followed by copious rinses with ethanol and water, and drying with N$_2$. DAB(PA)$_6$ ferrocene-terminated dendrimers (D-Fc) were prepared as previously described by Cuadrado et al. Satisfactory ¹H NMR spectra were obtained and the integrated intensity of the ferrocene-related peaks was compared to that of the internal methylene peaks. The average degree of functionalization was found to be 70%. Modification of Au substrates with D-Fc was identical to the procedure described for the PAMAM dendrimers except 0.01 mM chloroform solutions of D-Fc were used.

Mixed monolayers consisting of PAMAM dendrimers and CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_n$SH (C16SH) were prepared by either immersing the dendrimer-modified Au substrate in a 1 mM ethanolic or hexane solution of C16SH for 15–20 h or immersing a naked Au surface in an ethanolic solution containing both C16SH and dendrimer for 15–20 h. C16SH vapor dosing of dendrimer-modified surfaces was performed by passing a C16SH-saturated N$_2$ vapor over the substrate at 40 °C in a glass vessel for 3 h.

Characterization. As described previously, FTIR-external reflection spectroscopy (FTIR-ERS) measurements were made on a Digilab FTS-40 spectrometer equipped with a Harrick Scientific Seagull reflection accessory and a liquid-N$_2$-cooled MCT detector. All spectra were the sum of 256 or fewer individual scans with p-polarized light at an 84° angle of incidence with respect to the Au substrate.

Ellipsometric measurements were performed on films in air on a Gaertner L2W26D ellipsometer with a 70° angle of incidence and 633 nm wavelength. For monolayer films the index of refraction and the thickness cannot be determined independently, so thickness is calculated on the basis of an assumed index. Normally, an optical model consisting of a homogeneous thin film on a well-characterized substrate is used for ellipsometric analysis, but in this case we know that the dendrimer films are inhomogeneous and therefore such a model is not appropriate. Instead, we employ an optical model of a composite film based on the Bruggeman effective medium approximation (EMA). The index of refraction of the composite film is calculated based on the volume fractions and indices of the individual film components. Two-component dendrimer films consist of dendrimer spheres and void spaces, while three-component films consist of dendrimers, n-alkanethiol SAMs, and void spaces. The indices of the components are chosen to be 1.46 for dendrimers, 1.46 for the n-alkanethiols, and 1.00 for void spaces. The film thickness obtained from fitting this model to the ellipsometric measurements corresponds to the height of the dendrimer spheres.

Advancing contact angles were measured in an ambient of the saturated vapor of the drop with a Ramé-Hart Model 100-00-115 goniometer. The drop size was about 2 mm. Data presented in the table were based on the average of at least three measurements performed on independently prepared films.

Gravimetric measurements were made on surface acoustic wave (SAW) mass balances at 25 ± 0.5 °C with 2 ST-cut quartz oscillators housed in a custom-built flow system. Modified SAW devices were dosed with volatile organic compounds (VOCs) diluted in N$_2$ to 25% of saturation (flow rate = 0.5 L/min). The change in SAW-device frequency (Δf) resulting from adsorption is related to the mass loading on unit area (mn) through the equation Δf = −kmn. Here, f is the SAW resonance frequency (97 MHz), k is the factor of the center-to-center distance between the transducers covered by the Au film (in the present case its value is 0.7), and mn is the mass sensitivity coefficient of the device (1.33 cm$^2$/mg/Hz) for ST-cut quartz.

Most cyclic voltammetric and ac-impedance measurements were performed in a five-neck, three-electrode cell employing a Ag/AgCl (3 M NaCl) reference electrode (Bioanalytical Systems, West Lafayette, IN) and a Pt-gauze counter electrode, which was separated from the main compartment by a porous glass plug. The working electrode was cut from a Au-coated Si(100) wafer and contained within a Teflon O-ring holder that exposed an area of 0.09 ± 0.009 cm$^2$. All cyclic voltammetric measurements were carried out on a BAS Model 100B electrochemical analyzer (Bioanalytical System, Inc.). Impedance measurements were performed with an EG&G PARC 273A potentiostat and a Solartron 1255 frequency response analyzer controlled by a microcomputer running ZPLOT software (Scribner Associates, Charlottsville, VA). Measurements were performed by using a 5 mV rms wave about $E_0$ of the Fe(CN)$_6^{3-/4-}$ redox couple, and impedance values were determined at five discrete frequencies per decade. The range of frequencies applied was 0.1 Hz to 65 kHz.

The charge-transfer resistance $R_0$ and the total capacitance $C$, which is equal to the sum of the film capacitance ($C_f$) and the double-layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$), and some parameters relative to the diffusion process can be obtained by fitting the experimental impedance data to Randles’ equivalent circuit with ZSIM/CNLS software (Scribner Associates). The Randles’ equivalent circuit, while not the only possible representation, has frequently been employed to represent modified electrochemical interfaces and in the present case provided an excellent fit to the data. The diffusion element in the Randles’ equivalent circuit is represented by a constant-phase element.

Results and Discussion

Single-Component Dendrimer Monolayers. Amine-terminated PAMAM dendrimer monolayers are easily prepared by immersing a Au substrate in a dilute ethanolic solution of the dendrimer for 15–20 h and then rinsing with ethanol and water. Part a of Figure 1 shows an FTIR-external reflection spectroscopy spectrum of a G4 PAMAM monolayer. The relevant peaks confirming attachment of the den-
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Dendrimer to the surface arise from the dendrimer framework: the amide I and amide II bands at 1665 and 1555 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, and bands resulting from the two kinds of methylene groups present in PAMAM dendrimers at 2926, 2854, and 2818 cm$^{-1}$, and the amide and amine N–H stretching modes centered around 3300 cm$^{-1}$.

The enhanced stability of FTIR-ERS of dendrimer surfaces: (a) amine-terminated G4 PAMAM dendrimer monolayer; (b) hydroxy-terminated G4 PAMAM dendrimer monolayer; (c) mixed monolayer of PAMAM G4 dendrimer and C16SH; (d) mixed monolayer of 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzamido-terminated G4 PAMAM dendrimer and C16SH.

In contrast to this level of stability, we previously reported that simple primary alkylamines, which form SAMs on Au upon exposure to the corresponding vapor, are not stable under any of the aforementioned conditions.48 The enhanced stability of dendrimer monolayers can be accounted for by two factors. First, the G4 dendrimer has a high surface area and a dense exterior, which, like linear polymers, results in a strong van der Waals interaction with the Au surface. Second, and most importantly, there are a large number of amine groups that chemisorb to the Au surface, and by analogy to polynitrate metal–ion ligand chemistry, stabilize the amine/Au interaction.23,24 We have observed a similar phenomenon for thiol-terminated, polymerizable diacetylenic SAMs: prior to polymerization each molecule interacts with the substrate only through one thiol/Au chemical interaction, but after polymerization the stability of the polypodal polymer chains is greatly enhanced.23,49 The importance of the Au/amine interaction is confirmed by carrying out the deposition at different pH values in aqueous solutions. When the deposition is performed at pH 2 the amide peak area arising from dendrimers in the resulting film amounts to about 25% decrease in the amide peak area.

Higher and lower generation dendrimers also form monolayers on Au substrates. Figure 2 compares FTIR-ERS spectra of the amide region for G0, G2, G4, and G8 PAMAM dendrimers; even the G0 dendrimer, which has just four terminal amine groups, yields a significant coverage as judged by the amide peak intensities.

To quantify the dendrimer coverages, we used a mass-titration method that relies on surface-acoustic wave (SAW) mass balances. We have previously shown that when a Au surface is dosed with vapor-phase CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_6$SH (C7SH) a mass corresponding to a single monolayer adsorbs.51 Additionally, we have demonstrated that if there are objects on the surface blocking adsorption sites, this method can be used to determine the number of unblocked sites.52 Therefore, it is possible to determine the fractional surface coverage of dendrimers quantitatively by dosing a dendrimer-modified Au surface with vapor-phase C7SH and comparing the resulting mass change to that observed on a naked Au substrate.

When a SAW device having a naked-Au surface is dosed with dilute C7SH vapor, an average frequency change of ~10 ppm is observed, which corresponds to a surface coverage of 0.96 nmol/cm$^2$. The calculated maximum coverage of C7SH on a perfect Au(111) crystal is 0.78 nmol/cm$^2$. The ratio of these two values, 1.2, agrees with our previous results and corresponds to the surface roughness of the electron-beam deposited Au films used in this study.36,38 When the SAW devices are modified with a G4 PAMAM dendrimer monolayer prior to dosing, a C7SH coverage of 0.12 ± 0.02 nmol/cm$^2$ is measured. Comparison of this value to that obtained on the naked Au surface indicates that 88% of the Au surface is blocked by the dendrimer (Table 1). This experimentally determined value can be compared to the calculated maximum dendrimer surface coverage: assuming a hexagonal close-packed array of spherical G4 dendrimers, the projected surface coverage is about 91%. Thus, within the bounds of these approximations, the G4 dendrimer coverage of the Au surface is within 97% of the theoretical maximum. Accordingly, we feel comfortable describing these dendrimer-modified surfaces as SAMs.

Coverages for the G0–G8 dendrimers are given in Table 1. The G8 coverage (76%) is somewhat lower than that of G4 (88%). This probably reflects reduced surface mobility and a reduction in the reversibility of dendrimer sorption for the larger dendrimers, which is a consequence of the much greater contact area and number of amine groups on the exterior of the larger dendrimer (1024 for G8, 64 for G4). The calculated coverages for G0 and G2 are 89% and 97%, respectively. Both of these molecules are flat, however, and thus the spherical-shape approximation does not strictly apply.23 It should be emphasized
that these coverages only reflect the percentages of the Au surface masked by dendrimers and not their surface concentration. This is important because although the surface coverage of a G4 SAM prepared at room temperature or at 50 °C is almost the same (Table 1), the surface concentration is estimated to be 62% and 45% of that expected for a close-packed lattice of spherical dendrimers (squares). (a) Plot of calculated ellipsometric thickness with use of the EMA for G0–G8 dendrimer monolayers vs their bulk-phase diameters.

Part a of Figure 3 shows the relationship between dendrimer concentration, as gauged by the sum of the amide I and II peak areas (Figure 2 and Table 1) normalized to that of G0, as a function of the bulk-phase dendrimer diameter for G0, as a function of the bulk-phase dendrimer diameter (filled circles, except G4 surface prepared at 50 °C, which is represented as a filled triangle) and the G0-normalized amide number density calculated for a hexagonal close-packed monolayer of spherical PAMAM dendrimers (squares). As the generation increases, the amide peak intensity increases. The open squares in this figure are calculated from geometric considerations for the G0-normalized amide I + II peak areas assuming adsorption of a hexagonal close-packed array of perfectly spherical dendrimers. Clearly there is a significant deviation of the theoretical expectation of this model from that measured spectroscopically. This deviation is especially apparent for the G8 dendrimer. The low measured value could indicate that there is much less dendrimer on the surface than expected on the basis of the simple theoretical packing model. However, we know from the mass titration that nearly 80% of the surface is covered with G8. Taken together, these data suggest that the dendrimer is not spherical, but rather compressed against the Au surface in an oblate configuration. This results in a larger-than-expected dendrimer footprint and a correspondingly lower number density of amide bonds. The physical explanation for this result is that interactions develop between the dendrimer and Au surface until they are balanced by the energy cost required for further mechanical distortion of the dendrimer. We will return to this important point later.

The dendrimer thickness is calculated from the ellipsometric measurements by using an EMA model of a close-packed lattice of oblate dendrimer spheroids as shown at the top of Scheme 2. The results are given in Table 1 and plotted as a function of the diameter of the bulk dendrimer molecules in Figure 3b. The dendrimer height, d0, is significantly smaller than the bulk-phase diameter, suggesting substantial distortion of the dendrimer on the surface. Assuming constant volume of the dendrimer molecules, this flattening of the spheroids results in larger spacing between dendrimers, 2rn, and a lower surface concentration than the theoretical maximum expected for a close-packed lattice of spherical dendrimers. For the G4 and G8 dendrimers, the surface concentration is estimated to be 62% and 45% of the theoretical maximum, respectively, which is in reasonable agreement with the FTIR-ERS results shown in Figure 3a.

Note that the G4 dendrimer deposited at elevated temperature (50 °C) exhibits a higher density of amide groups (filled triangle, Figure 3a), but a similar surface coverage compared to room-temperature adsorption (Table 1). This suggests less distortion and a higher surface concentration of the dendrimers deposited at elevated temperature. This is also born out by the ellipsometric measurements, which reveal a significantly larger den-
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Figure 4. Complex impedance plots (open circles) at many frequencies (Hz) obtained from nominally naked Au, dendrimer monolayers (Au/Gn), and mixed dendrimer/C16SH monolayers in a pH 6.3 phosphate-buffered aqueous 0.5 M Na2SO4 solution containing 5 mM Fe(CN)64− and 5 mM Fe(CN)63−. All the films were prepared and characterized at 22 ± 2 °C except for that in part d, which was prepared at 50 °C. The continuous lines represent the ZSIM/Complex Nonlinear Least Squares (CNLS) fit calculated according to Randles’ equivalent circuit. Electrode area, 0.09 ± 0.009 cm².

Dendrimer thickness, indicating less distortion of the molecule upon adsorption at higher temperature.

A computer-based simulation of dendrimer surface adsorption has recently been reported. It describes the dendrimer adsorption geometry and the interaction energy between the dendrimer and the surface for G1–G8 dendrimers. The results indicate that higher generation dendrimers, G4 and G8 in our study, flatten and spread on the surface, while the lower-generation G1 and G2 dendrimers adsorb weakly and retain their bulk-phase conformation. Our experimental results are in good agreement with these calculations.

Table 1 shows the advancing contact angle of water on the four amine-terminated dendrimer SAMs. All the contact angles are the same within experimental error, indicating similar dendrimer surface coverages and chemical properties. These data are fully consistent with the FTIR-ERS data and SAW-device mass titrations.

To determine the barrier properties of dendrimer monolayers in aqueous electrolyte solutions we performed a complete impedance analysis. Figure 4 shows complex-impedance (Nyquist) plots at several frequencies for naked Au and G4- and G8-PAMAM dendrimer-modified electrodes in a pH 6.3-buffered solution containing 5 mM each of Fe(CN)63− and Fe(CN)64−. Since the plot shapes are characterized by a semicircle at high frequencies and a linear relationship at lower frequencies, Randles’ equivalent circuit64 can be used to interpret the results, and Simulation/Complex Nonlinear Least Squares (SIM/CNLS) fitting (solid line) of the data (open circles) typically produces excellent agreement. Physical parameters extracted from the fitting routine are provided in Table 2. For naked Au (Figure 4a), a 45° line characteristic of Warburg diffusion54 dominates almost the entire range of frequencies and there is only a barely discernible semicircle at high frequencies. The dominance of the Warburg impedance indicates that the redox reaction is diffusion-controlled over a broad frequency range. By analyzing the slope of Zq vs ω−1/2,55 we can obtain the diffusion coefficient (D) for the redox couple: 7.1 × 10−6 cm²/s, which is in good agreement with literature values. Very similar results are obtained when the electrodes are coated with G4 and G8 dendrimers at room temperature.

We estimated the effective fractional dendrimer surface coverage (θ) using eq 1,52 where Rct ø and Rct 0 are the charge-transfer resistance of the redox-probe molecule measured at a naked Au electrode and the coated electrode, respectively.

\[ \theta = \left( R_{ct} - R_{ct0} \right)/R_{ct} \] (1)

This equation is derived from a defect-based model that assumes a heterogeneous surface composed of a fractional area that fully blocks electron transfer (θ) and a fractional area that is completely accessible to the redox probe molecule (1 − θ). Although FTIR-ERS, ellipsometry, and mass-titration results prove that a high percentage of the Au surface is covered with dendrimers (about 88% and 76% for G4 and G8, respectively), the effective fractional surface coverages measured by this electrochemical method are only 4.5% and 16% for G4 and G8 dendrimers, respectively. These results imply, and data discussed later confirm, that Fe(CN)63−/4− easily penetrate the surface-confined dendrimers as well as the interstices between them. The electrochemically measured surface coverage of G8 is reproducibly higher than G4 (although the mass-titration data indicate the opposite), because the former is significantly less penetrable. This is a consequence of the much more sterically crowded exterior of G8.25 Because the effective dendrimer surface coverage is very small, the diffusion regions in Figure 4, plots b and c, exhibit Warburg impedances corresponding to semi-infinite linear diffusion (straight line with a slope of −1). Additionally, the same value of D is obtained for the dendrimer-coated surface and the naked Au surfaces, indicating that resistance to diffusion resulting from the dendrimer coatings is negligible.

Figure 4 also provides information about differences in the redox-probe blocking ability of dendrimer SAMs as a function of the film-deposition temperature. For the G4-covered electrode prepared at 50 °C (Figure 4d), a larger-diameter semicircle (Rct ø = 3.5 Ω·cm²) accompanies the mass transfer-limited behavior at lower frequencies. As discussed previously, the FTIR-ERS and ellipsometry data (Table 1) suggest that an increase in the film-preparation temperature yields a higher surface concentration of dendrimers. The electrochemical data are in accord with this finding. Additionally, the dendrimers may aggregate and interpenetrate, reducing the effective area accessible to the redox couple. However, even at elevated preparation temperature there is still a large fractional area of the dendrimer-modified electrode available for electron transfer.

In addition to the amine-terminated, PAMAM dendrimers, we have also demonstrated that dendrimers having different chemical compositions directly adsorb to naked Au surfaces. For example, using the same procedure as for the amine-terminated dendrimers, hydroxy-terminated G4 PAMAM dendrimers (G4-OH) also spontaneously adsorb to Au. The FTIR-ERS spectrum (part b of Figure 1) reveals amide I and II and
Table 2. Average Charge-Transfer Resistance ($R_T$), Apparent Surface Coverage ($\theta$), Interfacial Capacitance ($C$), and Diffusion Coefficient ($D$) of Naked Au and Au Coated with Dendrimer Films in 5 mM Fe(CN)$_6^{3-} + 5$ mM Fe(CN)$_6^{4-}$ (pH 6.3, 0.5 M Na$_2$SO$_4$, at 22 ± 2°C)$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>$R_T$ (Ω·cm$^2$)$^b$</th>
<th>$\theta$</th>
<th>$1 - \theta$</th>
<th>$C$ (µF/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$D$ (cm$^2$/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>$7.1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/G4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$7.1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/G8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$7.1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/G4, 50 °C</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$7.1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/G4+C16SH</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/G8+C16SH</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>~$1 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/G4+F+C16SH</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/C16SH</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Results calculated from data presented in Figure 4. $^b$ $R_T$ and $C$ were obtained by fitting the data to Randles’ equivalent circuit with ZSIM software. The solution resistance was 97 Ω. $^c$ $D$ was obtained by analyzing the slope of $Z_R$ vs $\omega^{-1/2}$.

Figure 5. The first cyclic voltammogram of dianinobutane-dendri-(propylamine)$_{64}$ (dashed line) and ferrocene-modified dianinobutane-dendri-(propylamine)$_{64}$ (solid line) monolayers adsorbed to a Au electrode. Scan rate = 100 mV/s; electrolyte solution, 1.0 M HClO$_4$/H$_2$O; electrode area, 0.09 ± 0.009 cm$^2$.

methylene peaks of approximately the same magnitude and position as found for the amine-terminated G4 PAMAM dendrimer. The ellipsometric thickness of about 23 Å and the surface coverage measured by mass titration (92%) are also approximately the same as for the amine-terminated dendrimer monolayers (Table 1).

We also prepared and characterized dendrimer coatings prepared from dianinobutane(propylamine)$_{64}$-based dendrimers functionalized with ferrocene moieties (D$_{64}$-Fc). NMR results indicate 70% functionalization of the terminal amines, resulting in an average of 45 ferrocenes per dendrimer.

Electroactive dendrimer films were prepared by placing Au substrates in 0.01 mM chloroform solutions of D$_{64}$-Fc. The presence of the monolayers was confirmed by FTIR-ERS and ellipsometry, and then the surfaces were characterized by cyclic voltammetry in aqueous electrolyte solutions. The results (Figure 5) reveal the voltammetry of a typical surface-confined layer: the anodic and cathodic waves exhibit a peak splitting of 15 mV, and the full-width at half-maximum of the anodic wave is ~95 mV.$^{54}$ As has been reported previously for ferrocene-modified dendrimers in bulk-phases, these data indicate that the terminal ferrocene groups act independently of one another.$^{57}$ The first-scan voltammogram shown in Figure 5 reveals an asymmetry in the magnitudes of the oxidation and reduction currents, indicating that the dendrimer film is stable in the reduced state, but partially desorbs (after just one voltammetric scan) upon oxidation to the more water-soluble cationic form. By integrating the charge under the first anodic wave, a surface coverage of ferrocene end groups of 8.4 × 10$^{-10}$ mol/cm$^2$ is obtained. Assuming that all ferrocene units are electroactive, and taking into account the average percentage functionalization of each dendrimer, this yields a dendrimer coverage of 2 × 10$^{-11}$ mol/cm$^2$. Previous theoretical calculations indicated a diameter of 52 Å for the ferrocene-modified dendrimers.$^{57}$ A hexagonal close-packed array of 52 Å spheres yields a coverage of 7.4 × 10$^{-12}$ mol/cm$^2$. Relative to this model, the experimentally determined surface coverage is 270% of a monolayer. This result suggests a significant distortion of the assumed spherical shape or perhaps the presence of more than one layer. The important point, however, is that dendrimers having different internal and external compositions adsorb to Au surfaces, which demonstrates the generality of this approach for preparing thin dendrimer films. Presumably dendrimers functionalized with other simple terminal groups, such as thiols or silanes, can be prepared for coating a broad range of substrate materials.

Mixed PAMAM Dendrimer/n-Alkanethiol Monolayers. Mixed monolayers of dendrimers and n-alkanethiols are easy to prepare and have some very interesting properties. The mixed SAMs are made by first preparing a dendrimer-only SAM as described in the previous section, and then soaking it in a dilute ethanolic solution of an appropriate n-alkanethiol for 15–20 h. Figure 1c shows an FTIR-ERS spectrum of a mixed monolayer consisting of G4 PAMAM dendrimers and C16SH (G4+C16SH) prepared in this way. There are two important points. First, there is no decrease in the amide I or II bands, which originate exclusively from the internal amide groups of G4, compared to the initial dendrimer-only spectrum (Figure 1a), and in fact there is a slight increase in the amide I peak at around 1665 cm$^{-1}$ (Table 1).$^{59}$ This indicates that the presence of the cosorbed n-alkanethiol does not result in significant desorption of the G4 dendrimer, and the slight increase in the amide I band suggests an orientational change of the relevant dipoles.$^{59}$ Second, there is a significant increase of the peaks in the hydrocarbon stretching region (2800–3000 cm$^{-1}$) signaling the presence of a high fractional surface coverage of C16SH.

Referring to the spectrum shown in Figure 6b which is the difference of part a and c of Figure 1, we note that the peak positions and the relative peak ratios, but not intensities, of the asymmetric and symmetric CH$_3$ modes (2920 and 2851 cm$^{-1}$, respectively) are the same as for a C16SH-only monolayer (Figure 6a). This indicates that C16SH cosorbed with the dendrimer on the Au surface forms crystalline-like packing domains that are indistinguishable from a C16SH-only monolayer. Moreover, on the basis of the relative intensities of the methyl peaks in the spectra of a single-component C16SH monolayer (Figure 6a) and the fractional C16SH coverage present in the mixed monolayer (Figure 6b), we estimate that about 60% of the Au surface area is occupied by C16SH in the mixed monolayer (we compare the methyl bands to avoid potentially overlapping alkyl and dendrimer methylene bands).
Figure 6. FTIR-ERS in the CH\textsubscript{2} stretching region (a) C16SH-only monolayer prepared by deposition from a ethanolic C16SH solution. Difference spectra obtained by subtracting a G4-only spectrum (Figure 1a) from the spectrum of a G4+C16SH surface prepared by thiol deposition from (b) a 1 mM ethanol solution of C16SH (Figure 1c), (c) a saturated vapor of C16SH in N\textsubscript{2} at 40 °C, and (d) a 1 mM hexane solution of C16SH.

Taken together with the SAW mass-titration data discussed in the previous section, which indicated an dendrimer coverage of 88% prior to C16SH exposure, we conclude there is a very significant compression of the dendrimer footprint. Exposing the mixed monolayer to either a 1 mM ethanolic C16SH solution or pure ethanol for 6 additional days does not result in a significant change in either the FTIR-ERS spectra or the ellipsometric thickness, indicating a high level of stability.

In addition to the G4+C16SH mixed monolayers, we also prepared and characterized composite monolayers of G0, G2, and G8 with C16SH. FTIR-ERS spectra of the G0 and G2 dendrimer-containing surfaces reveal a decrease in the amide I and amide II peak intensities after thiol adsorption, while the amide-bond intensity associated with the G8 surface does not show any significant decrease (Table 1). This result indicates that the dendrimer surface on Au becomes more stable as the generation increases, which in turn strongly suggests that the presence of a submonolayer coverage of C16SH. In other words, to account for the thickness increase it is necessary to invoke a conformational change in dendrimer geometry. To quantify the extent of dendrimer distortion we postulate the model shown in Scheme 2 and compare measured monolayer thicknesses before (d\textsubscript{0}) and after (d\textsubscript{1}) C16SH coadsorption.\(^{65}\)

Initially, the monolayer consists only of the Au-supported dendrimer present as an oblate spheroid of height d\textsubscript{0} (values of d\textsubscript{0} are given in Table 1). After exposure to C16SH, however, the compressive force exerted on the dendrimers by C16SH causes them to assume a prolate configuration, which exposes additional Au surface sites for thiol coadsorption. This two-component EMA optical model assumes the following: (1) the dendrimers can mechanically distort; (2) the concentration of dendrimer molecules on the surface, and the center-to-center distance between dendrimers, is the same before and after exposure to C16SH; (3) the molecular volume of the dendrimers is constant (i.e., if the thickness, d\textsubscript{1}, increases, the radius, r\textsubscript{1}, decreases); and (4) the C16SH adsors to all exposed regions of the Au surface and the thickness of the C16SH SAM is 21 Å. Using this model, the dendrimer thickness in the mixed monolayers, d\textsubscript{1} (Table 1), is fit to the ellipsometric measurements. The ellipsometric analysis confirms the spectroscopic evidence discussed earlier that the adsorbed dendrimer changes from oblate to prolate. (In the case of G0 and G2, this distortion may actually be a reorientation of the semirigid, flat dendrimer to an upright rather than horizontal orientation with respect to the surface. In any case, the conclusions here apply most strictly to G4 and G8.) The fractional coverage of C16SH in the mixed monolayer films estimated from this model is about 75%, which is in good agreement with the semiquantitative FTIR-ERS results shown in Figure 6b and supports our contention that a dramatic distortion of the dendrimer occurs upon coadsorption of C16SH.

We expected that the extent of dendrimer distortion would be driven by a delicate balance between the relative energies of adsorption of the two monolayer components, the energy required to mechanically distort the dendrimer, and the energy gain associated with solvating the terminal amine groups of the dendrimers. Accordingly, it should be possible to distort the dendrimers to different extents by performing the coadsorption step in different media. Parts c and d of Figure 6 show difference FTIR-ERS spectra for G4+C16SH mixed monolayers prepared by coadsorption of the thiol component from vapor-phase N\textsubscript{2} and liquid hexane,\(^{66}\) respectively, minus the initial G4-only monolayer. Infrared spectra in the C–H region arising from the adsorbed C16SH for both surfaces are quite similar; however, the peak intensities are much smaller than when C16SH was coadsorbed from ethanol (Figure 6b). Correspondingly smaller changes in thickness and contact angle are also observed. These results indicate that hexane and N\textsubscript{2} are not good solvents for the dendrimers, and therefore they are unable to assist the conformational change illustrated in Scheme 2. Accordingly, the thiol component is adsorbed only on the Au surface not initially shielded by the dendrimer. These solvation and conformational effects were also studied by using appropriately modified SAW devices. Results obtained are consistent with the proposed model (see Supporting Information).

On the basis of the spectroscopic and ellipsometric evidence provided so far, we propose the following mechanism for the rather dramatic distortion of the dendrimers in the mixed

(65) Ellipsometry is inherently model dependent, and whereas the ellipsometric model and attendant assumptions used here are reasonable and in accord with independently applied analytical methods, other models yielding different results could be used to fit the data.
dendrimer + n-alkanethiol monolayers. In ethanol, the PAM-AM dendrimer is solvated and swollen by the polar solvent. This increased solvation means that the interaction between the terminal groups of the dendrimer and the Au surface is more open to competition from other adsorbates, and probably interactions among branch groups weaken, allowing dendrimers to change conformation more easily. During adsorption, C16SH competes with the amine groups on the dendrimers for adsorption sites on the Au surface. The degree of adsorption of the thiol is determined by the balance between the energy loss due to the increased strain within the dendrimers and loss of amine/Au adsorption interactions versus the energy gain due to the adsorption of C16SH and the increased solvation of the dendrimers. Eventually, no additional amine groups can desorb because they are sealed within the thiol fraction of the monolayer and thus cannot be effectively solvated by ethanol (Scheme 1).

Electrochemical data are consistent with dendrimer compression upon thiol coadsorption. Specifically, the fraction of the surface coated with the thiol is more efficient than the dendrimer at passivating the surface. Comparison of the impedance results for G4- and G8-modified electrodes before and after exposure to C16SH (parts b and e, and c and f of Figure 4, respectively) clearly indicates a decrease in the fraction of the electrode accessible to the Fe(CN)$_6^{3-/4-}$ redox couple, which is consistent with coadsorbed C16SH. The fractional active area ($\theta$) decreases from 0.96 and 0.84 for G4- and G8-dendrimer monolayers, respectively, to 0.06 and 0.006 after exposure to C16SH, respectively (Table 2).

Closer examination of Figure 4 and Table 2 leads to another important conclusion: penetration of the mixed G4+C16SH surface by Fe(CN)$_6^{3-/4-}$ results primarily from intradendrimer mass transfer. For example, the fractional active area ($\theta$) for an Au electrode coated with C16SH only is about 100-fold smaller than the mixed G4+C16SH surface (part h of Figure 4 and Table 2). Obviously, the larger electroactive area is caused by the presence of the dendrimer. We hypothesize that redox-probe penetration occurs through the dendrimers, rather than at the vertical dendrimer/C16SH interface, because if the shear force exerted by the thiol is sufficient to deform the dendrimers, then surely it is sufficient to prevent penetration by Fe(CN)$_6^{3-/4-}$. To test this hypothesis we carried out several additional experiments.

Part f of Figure 4 shows the impedance data for the mixed G8+C16SH surface: the electrochemically active fraction is almost 10 times smaller than for G4+C16SH. The interface between G8 and the thiol should be virtually identical to that of the G4 and the thiol, but the G8 has a more sterically crowded exterior. Accordingly, this steric crowding should hinder intradendrimer mass transfer for the G8 system, but not affect leakage at the dendrimer/thiol interface. This is exactly what we observe. It is also possible to calculate the diffusion coefficient for Fe(CN)$_6^{3-/4-}$ through the dendrimer-modified surfaces from the impedance data in Figure 4. The linear part of the impedance plot for the G4+C16SH-modified surface is characteristic of Warburg impedance, indicating substantial overlap of the individual diffusion layers on the dendrimer surface. The diffusion coefficient of Fe(CN)$_6^{3-/4-}$ at the G4+C16SH-coated electrode is $1.4 \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s, which is 50 times smaller than that calculated for naked Au, but 100 times larger than that estimated for the G8+C16SH-modified surface.

To control the surface concentration of dendrimers in mixed monolayers, we prepared modified surfaces by competitive adsorption in solutions containing C16SH and G4 present at different ratios. FTIR-ERS results (not shown) reveal that the intensities of amide I and II bands increase as the ratio of G4: C16SH increases. Electrochemical measurements confirm this finding. Figure 7 shows cyclic voltammetry that arises from G4+C16SH-modified surfaces prepared by competitive coadsorption of dendrimers and C16SH in the following ratios (G4:C16SH): 1:1, 1:5, 1:10. Data are also included for an electrode modified by sequential adsorption of first dendrimer and then C16SH. The sequentially prepared mixed monolayer yields the highest current and a peak-shaped voltammogram indicating facile penetration of the interface by Fe(CN)$_6^{3-/4-}$ (Figure 7). We interpret this result as being characteristic of a high concentration of dendrimer in the monolayer. However, for the surfaces prepared by simultaneous coadsorption of dendrimers and thiol, the peak current decreases as the ratio of dendrimer in the soaking solution decreases. At a G4:C16SH ratio of 1:10 very little current is observed indicating a small fraction of dendrimers in the monolayer.

We also obtained a voltammogram of Ru(NH$_3$)$_6^{3+}$ at a G4+C16SH-modified surface prepared by soaking the Au electrode in a 0.1 mM G4 plus 0.5 mM C16SH ethanolic solution. Interestingly, the current resulting from Ru(NH$_3$)$_6^{3+}$ reduction at the mixed monolayer surface is much smaller than that of Fe(CN)$_6^{3-/4-}$ measured on exactly the same modified electrode. The results for the mixed monolayer-coated electrodes prepared in solutions of different dendrimer:C16SH ratios are essentially identical to the one shown in Figure 7. These data suggest that at low pH (pH = 6.3 in this experiment) the amine terminal groups of the dendrimer are protonated and electrostatically block penetration, and hence electrochemical reduction, of the positively charged Ru(NH$_3$)$_6^{3+}$. This result confirms that dendrimers in the mixed monolayers permit selective intradendrimer mass and electron transfer. Finally, it is also possible to control the surface concentration of PAMAM dendrimers by selective electrochemical desorption (see Supporting Information).

**On-Surface Functionalization of Dendrimer Surfaces.** Only dendrimers having terminal functionality with groups capable of a fairly strong chemical interaction with a particular substrate form stable monolayers. However, for particular applications it may be desirable to prepare dendrimers having one set of functional groups for anchoring the dendrimer to a surface and another set, on the other side of the dendrimer, for performing a specific function. While it is possible to prepare

---


---

**Figure 7.** Cyclic voltammetry of 5 mM Fe(CN)$_6^{3-/4-}$ and Ru(NH$_3$)$_6^{3+}$ in 0.5 M Na$_2$SO$_4$, pH 6.3, phosphate-buffered electrolyte solutions. (C) Electrode prepared by sequential adsorption from ethanolic solutions of 0.1 mM G4 first then 1 mM C16SH. Electrodes prepared by simultaneous adsorption from ethanolic solutions containing 0.1 mM G4 and (+) 0.1 mM C16SH, (Δ) 0.5 mM C16SH, and (−) 1.0 mM C16SH. For Ru(NH$_3$)$_6^{3+}$, only the data corresponding to Δ are shown; the other three modified surfaces yielded results indistinguishable from this. Electrode area, 0.09 ± 0.009 cm$^2$. Scan rate, 50 mV/s.
such materials using a convergent synthetic approach,\textsuperscript{67} we have found it much easier to first immobilize the dendrimers and then functionalize only those terminal groups not involved in the surface interaction. Here we describe this strategy and demonstrate that dendrimer monolayers are sufficiently stable to survive on-surface functionalization.

We have discovered a number of different chemistries that are appropriate for linking functional groups to amine- and hydroxyl-terminated dendrimer monolayers. Reactions of acid chlorides with amine-terminated dendrimers are among the most versatile class of reactions we have studied. For example, a 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl-functionalized G4 dendrimer (G4-F) can be prepared by soaking an amine-terminated, G4 monolayer of the type described earlier for 2 h in a 0.1 M DMF solution of 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl chloride containing triethylamine.

Figure 1d shows an FTIR-ERS spectrum of a G4-F monolayer prepared in this way and then subsequently soaked in a C16SH solution (G4-F+C16SH) to enhance surface stability. Prior to on-surface functionalization, the spectrum of the monolayer was identical to that shown in Figure 1a, but afterward a band at 1329 cm\(^{-1}\) signals the presence of \(-\text{CF}_3\) groups. Additionally, there is a slight increase in the amide-band intensities, which arises from the new amide linkages between the fluorinated functional groups and the dendrimers, indicating that the synthetic procedure does not result in a measurable level of dendrimer desorption. The bands in the high-energy region of the spectrum arise principally from the methyl and methylene groups of the coadsorbed \(n\)-alkanethiol component of the monolayer.

We examined the electrochemical properties of G4-F+C16SH monolayer by performing an ac-impedance analysis (Figure 4g). The results indicate that \(R_\text{d}\) is almost 25 times larger than that of the unfunctionalized G4+C16SH monolayer (Figure 4e). This is a consequence of increased steric crowding on the dendrimer surface, which hinders intradendrimer mass transfer, and an increase in the hydrophobicity of the fluorinated surface.

**Summary and Conclusions**

Dendrimers of many different generations terminated in amine or hydroxy groups have the ability to form stable, densely packed monolayers via polydentate interactions with Au surfaces. Importantly, the shape of the higher-generation dendrimers changes from spheroidal in the bulk to a compressed, oblate configuration on the surface. The shape change is driven by a delicate energy balance between the Au/amine interactions, solvation of primary amines, and the energy required to distort the dendrimer. Electrochemical impedance studies indicate that surface-confined dendrimers are quite porous in their lower generations, but as their surfaces become more sterically crowded they become slightly better diffusion barriers. We also showed that following immobilization, free dendrimer terminal groups can undergo on-surface functionalization, which greatly expands the technological implications for dendrimer monolayers.

Coadsorption of C16SH with a dendrimer monolayer causes a significant distortion of the dendrimer structure. Simple calculations for the G4 PAMAM surface indicate a change in shape from oblate to prolate. SAW-based gravimetry and ac-impedance measurements support this model. Our experiments suggest that the primary driving force for this change is terminal-group solvation by suitable polar solvents. Studies of the mixed monolayers also confirm that redox probe molecules penetrate the dendrimers and can undergo electron transfer within their interiors. The concentration of dendrimers within mixed monolayers can be controlled by competitive adsorption or selective electrochemical desorption. Importantly, the conditions under which the single- and two-component monolayers are prepared greatly influence both the structure and stability of the monolayers. For example, monolayers prepared in very dilute dendrimer solutions (10\(^{-7}\) to 10\(^{-9}\) M) or for very short times (<1 min) result in lower dendrimer coverages and reduced stability.\textsuperscript{68} This suggests that time-dependent aspects of the adsorption process, such as interdigitation of dendrimer branches, might greatly influence the chemical and physical properties of the monolayers.

Our results suggest that dendrimer monolayers will be extremely useful for the manipulation of surface properties. Indeed, we have already shown they hold promise as chemically selective interfaces,\textsuperscript{4,5} adhesion layers for polymers and metals,\textsuperscript{66,69} and nanoreactors for metal clusters.\textsuperscript{70} Dendrimers can also be incorporated into thicker polymeric materials where we have shown them to act as permselective membranes\textsuperscript{69} and corrosion passivation layers.\textsuperscript{71} Finally, the structure and ion-transport function of the mixed dendrimer/\(n\)-alkanethiol monolayers is somewhat analogous to that of phospholipid/protein membranes and thus might serve as a crude model for fundamental studies of the latter.\textsuperscript{66}

**Acknowledgment.** We are grateful to the National Science Foundation (CHE-9313441), the Robert A. Welch Foundation, the Office of Naval Research, and Sandia National Laboratories for support of this work. Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed-Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000. We thank Dr. Antonio J. Ricco (Sandia National Laboratories) for helpful discussions and Mr. Mark Kaiser (Dendritech, Inc., Midland, MI) for helpful discussions, for providing technical information, and for supplying the Starburst PAMAM dendrimers used in this study.

**Supporting Information Available:** Gas-dosing results for G4 PAMAM dendrimer-modified SAW devices; time-dependent FTIR-ERS spectra of a single dendrimer-modified Au electrode illustrating electrochemical removal of dendrimers and subsequent replacement by CSSH (2 pages, print/PDF). See any current masthead page for ordering information and Web access instructions.


\textsuperscript{70} Zhao, M.; Sun, L.; Crooks, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. In press.

\textsuperscript{71} Zhao, M.; Liu, Y.; Crooks, R. M.; Bergbreiter, D. E. Manuscript in preparation.